

Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology

Volume 27, Issue 11, Page 591-602, 2024; Article no.JABB.126256 ISSN: 2394-1081

# Agroforestry for Carbon Neutrality: An Effective Pathway to Net Zero

### Adity Isha Prachi Tirkey <sup>a</sup>, Rikesh Kumar <sup>a</sup>, Ravi Shankar Prasad <sup>a</sup> and Ayushman Malakar <sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> ICFRE – Institute of Forest Productivity, Ranchi-835303, Jharkhand, India.

### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Authors AIPT and RK designed the study. Authors AIPT, RK and AM managed the literature searches, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author RSP supervised the study. Author AM revised and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i111643

Open Peer Review History: This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/126256

**Review Article** 

Received: 04/09/2024 Accepted: 06/11/2024 Published: 12/11/2024

### ABSTRACT

Agroforestry is a promising strategy to achieve carbon neutrality and net zero emission, aiming towards the balance between greenhouse gas emissions and equal amount of carbon removal through sequestration Among several methods of carbon sequestration, agroforestry stands out for its unique ability to simultaneously sequester carbon while reducing greenhouse gas emissions, particularly nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) emissions associated with chemical fertilizer use in conventional agriculture. These systems store carbon through multiple pathways like, in above-ground biomass, below-ground root systems, and enhanced soil organic carbon accumulation while maintaining the agricultural productivity. Acknowledged under the afforestation and reforestation programs of the Kyoto Protocol, agroforestry has attracted interest for its several advantages from both industrialized and developing countries for its multifaceted benefits, including its potential to combat desertification and reduce anthropogenic emissions. Beyond carbon sequestration, it enhances soil

*Cite as:* Tirkey, Adity Isha Prachi, Rikesh Kumar, Ravi Shankar Prasad, and Ayushman Malakar. 2024. "Agroforestry for Carbon Neutrality: An Effective Pathway to Net Zero". Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology 27 (11):591-602. https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i111643.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: ayushmanmalakar@gmail.com;

fertility, supports biodiversity conservation, and provides economic diversification for farmers through multiple income streams. The role of REDD+ (Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation) negotiations in extending the Kyoto Protocol's second commitment period has further strengthened agroforestry's position in global climate action, particularly through the development of REDD offset credits in compliance carbon markets. The World Agroforestry Centre defines agroforestry as a dynamic, ecologically based natural resources management system that integrates trees on farms and in the agricultural landscape, diversifying and sustaining production for increased social, economic, and environmental benefits. The Association for Temperate Agroforestry (AFTA) defines it as an intensive land management system that optimizes the benefits from biological interactions created when trees and/or shrubs are deliberately combined with crops and/or other natural resources. This review article critically examines agroforestry's crucial role as an effective pathway toward achieving carbon neutrality and net zero goals, synthesizing current knowledge and future prospects.

Keywords: Agroforestry system; green house gas; kyoto protocol; carbon sequestration; intensive land management system.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Net zero emissions and carbon neutrality have become fundamental principles in the global struggle against climate change. In a world increasingly afflicted environmental bv deterioration and the imminent risk of catastrophic climatic catastrophes, attaining net zero emissions and carbon neutrality has emerged as a critical objective for governments, organisations, and individuals alike. Net zero emissions denote the equilibrium between the quantity of greenhouse gases emitted and the volume extracted from the atmosphere. Carbon neutrality is compensating for carbon dioxide emissions by strategies such as carbon capture and storage, reforestation, or the use of renewable energy sources. These principles a significant transition represent towards sustainable practices and renewable energy sources, with the objective of alleviating the detrimental effects of climate change and preserving the earth for future generations. The escalating urgency of climate change necessitates the attainment of nett zero emissions and carbon neutrality, which are essential benchmarks in the collective endeavour to mitigate environmental degradation and ensure a sustainable future, wherein agroforestry can significantly contribute to these objectives.

Although carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) is a vital component of the atmosphere, its increasing concentration designates it as a significant greenhouse gas (GHG). The persistent rise in atmospheric concentration is thought to be expedited by anthropogenic activities, including fossil fuel combustion and deforestation (Solomon et al. 2007). Carbon sequestration is a method for diminishing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> levels

by extracting carbon from the atmosphere and storing it in a reservoir. The Kyoto Protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)-the inaugural and, to date, the most extensive international accord greenhouse aimed at stabilising gas concentrations-permits carbon sequestration by afforestation and replanting. The equilibrium between greenhouse gas emissions and their removal from the atmosphere involves balancing carbon dioxide emissions by strategies such as carbon capture and storage, reforestation, or the adoption of renewable energy. Agroforestry has emerged as a promising solution, combining agricultural and forestry practices to create sustainable land-use systems. In light of the acknowledgement of trees' vital function in sequestering and storing atmospheric CO2 in vegetation. soils. and biomass products (Cherinet and Lemi 2023), agroforestry has been recognised as a carbon sequestration practice. This was especially applicable to afforestation and reforestation initiatives, which the Kvoto Protocol endorsed as techniques for decreasing greenhouse gas emissions. As a result, both industrialised and developing nations started prioritising agroforestry systems as a carbon sequestration approach (Kumar and Nair 2022; Akhter et al. 2023). Consequently, there are elevated expectations concerning the function of agroforestry as method for а carbon sequestration. As The IPCC report (Solomon et 2007) al. emphasizes the urgency of implementing such nature-based solutions (NbS), highlighting their role in ecosystem resilience and climate change adaptation, it is appropriate current to reassess our comprehension of the subject and evaluate the feasible potential of agroforestry as a biological method for carbon sequestration. This study aims to assess the function of agroforestry as a method for carbon sequestration and to emphasise its scientific foundations. This study concentrates on application-oriented scientific advancements in carbon sequestration, particularly in soils (Hodson 2019; Rodrigues et al. 2023), in light of the growing body of outstanding papers on the mechanisms and processes involved.

### 2. DEFINITION OF AGROFORESTRY

Agroforestry encompasses several definitions. The World Agroforestry Centre (www.icraf.cgiar.org) characterises it as "a dynamic, ecologically grounded natural resource management system that integrates trees within farms and agricultural landscapes, thereby and sustaining production diversifying to enhance social, economic, and environmental benefits for land users at all levels." The Association for Temperate Agroforestry (AFTA: www.aftaweb.org) defines it as "an intensive land management system that maximises the advantages derived from the biological interactions established when trees and/or shrubs are intentionally integrated with crops and/or livestock." Essentially, they all denote the intentional cultivation of trees, crops, and/or animals in synergistic combinations across nations, for diverse advantages and services (Chhiev and Jongrungrot 2022).

Agroforestry relies on land-use systems that exhibit higher structural and functional complexity than monocultures of crops or trees, leading to enhanced efficiency in the collection and utilisation of resources (nutrients, light, water) and increased structural variety, which promotes tighter nutrient cycles. The diversity of both aboveground and belowground organisms contribute to system stability and resilience at the site level, while also facilitating connection with forests and other landscape elements at the landscape and watershed levels (Birkel et al. 2024).

Agroforestry has now developed into a comprehensive forestry discipline with the capacity to address land management and environmental issues globally, regardless of varying levels of development. Numerous conventional and enhanced agroforestry systems have been identified in various regions worldwide (Suryani et al. 2022). A multitude of varied agroforestry systems exists in the tropics, attributable to both favourable climatic conditions and socio-economic factors, including human

population pressure, increased labour availability, reduced land-holding size, intricate land tenure, and greater distance from markets (Moreno et al. 2014).

In addition to the protective and productive foundations, the economic side of agroforestry is the primary motivating factor for industrialised nations. In North America, the five principal methods identified agroforestry are allev cropping, forest farming, riparian buffer strips, silvo-pasture, and windbreaks. Additional temperate-zone agroforestry systems encompass traditional tree-based agriculture with multifunctional species. many including Chestnuts (Castanea spp.), Oaks (Quercus spp.), Carob (Ceratonia siliqua), Olives (Olea europaea), and Figs (Ficus spp.) in the Mediterranean regions (Vaupel et al. 2023). The Dehesa system, characterised by grazing beneath oak trees and closely associated with cyclical cereal cultivation in rangelands, is an ancient European practice (Reves-Palomo et al. 2023).

The evolution of agroforestry as a climate mitigation strategy gained significant momentum under the Kyoto Protocol, which recognized afforestation and reforestation as legitimate carbon sequestration activities. The theoretical underpinning of carbon capture in agroforestry systems involves complex interactions between trees, crops, and soil systems, under various agroforestry practices, including silvo-pastoral systems, alley cropping, and forest farming.

### 3. CARBON SEQUESTRATION AND CARBON NEUTRALITY POTENTIAL OF THE AGROFORESTRY SYSTEM

Carbon sequestration entails the net extraction of atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> from the through photosynthesis and its subsequent storage in enduring carbon reservoirs. These pools aboveground plant encompass biomass, belowground biomass including roots and soil microbes, stable forms of organic and inorganic carbon in soils and deeper underground habitats, as well as durable products created from biomass, such as timber. Agroforestry systems are considered to possess greater carbon sequestration capacity than grasslands or field crops. This assumption posits that the integration of trees into agricultural fields and pastures would yield enhanced net carbon sequestration both aboveground and belowground (Kumar et al. 2024; Panwar et al. 22).

The improved carbon sequestration and diminished emissions can result in net carbon neutrality, a goal adopted by several nations during the Glasgow Agreement (COP-26) as part of their environmental objectives. Numerous assessments of carbon sequestration and carbon losses across various land-use regimes exist. CAB Abstracts (http://www.cabi.org) catalogues 266 publications pertaining to agroforestry, predominantly published in the last 15 years, with keywords "agroforestry" and "carbon the sequestration." The estimations are obtained by integrating data on the aboveground, timeaveraged carbon stocks (50% of the system's carbon stock at its maximum age or rotation duration for plantations) and the soil carbon values of the system (Chavan et al. 2023).

### 3.1 Aboveground (Vegetation) Carbon Sequestration

Forests worldwide are estimated to harbour up to 80% of all aboveground carbon and 40% of all belowground terrestrial carbon, including soils, litter, and roots. The evaluation of accumulated biomass in the forest ecosystem is crucial for determining the productivity and sustainability of the forest (Khasanah et al. 2016). Estimates of aboveground carbon sequestration potential (CSP) indicate that 46% to 52% of branch dry weight and 32% of leaf dry weight comprise

carbon (Mohammadi et al. 2017). The total estimates for above-ground biomass, carbon stock, and carbon equivalent from all the listed roadside trees were 154.53 metric tonnes, 72.63 metric tonnes, and 266.55 metric tonnes, respectively. The findings indicate that the roadside trees possess a significant carbon stock that can aid in climate change mitigation via carbon sequestration (Adekanmbi et al. 2023). The Table 1 demonstrates that the estimations of CSP in agroforestry systems exhibit significant variability. These values directly reflect the ecological production capacity of the system, influenced by several aspects like as site land-use characteristics, types, species composition, stand age, and management strategies. Agroforests in arid, semiarid, and degraded areas exhibit a lower CSP than those in fertile wet regions; also, temperate agroforestry systems demonstrate comparatively reduced vegetation CSP relative to tropical systems. Intensive continuous cropping and short-term fallow systems in sub-humid tropics, characterised by relatively brief growing cycles or rotation intervals, exhibit reduced CSP in vegetation compared to the slash-and-burn systems prevalent in humid tropical regions (Kumar and Kunhamu 2021). Table 1 summarizes mean aboveground carbon sequestration potential of different agroforestry systems from several studies performed till date.

 Table 1. Aboveground carbon sequestration by different agroforestry systems

SI. No.	Agroforestry/land-use system	Mean vegetation C	Source
1	Fodder Trees of the Lower and Middle Ouémé Valley, Benin	0.21 to 54.17 Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> y <sup>-1</sup>	Kollie et al. 2024
2	Coconut based intercropping System	0.037 to 0.0 56 Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> y <sup>-1</sup>	Namitha et al. 2022
3	Agroforestry at Different Altitudes in the Garhwal Himalayas	353.48 to 373.23 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	Singh et al. 2024
4	Agroforestry food crop system for C stock and sequestration (case study on Saobi Island Madura)	11.59 -14.97 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	Mandasari et al. 2022
5	Indigenous Agroforestry Systems in Silte Wereda, Southern Ethiopia	1.28 to 7 Mg ha <sup>-1</sup>	Semere et al. 2024
6	Coffee agroforests in the western highlands of Guatemala	74.0 to 259.0 Mg C ha <sup>-1</sup>	Schmitt-Harsh et al. 2012
7	Sesbania alley cropping based rainfed food - fodder systems	1.72 Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> y <sup>-1</sup>	Palsaniya et al. 2023
8	The Tropical Seagrass Meadows in Indonesia	1.6–7.4 Mt C y <sup>-1</sup>	Wahyudi et al. 2020
9	Urban afforestation in Prato municipality Italy	33.1 kt CO₂ yr⁻¹	Brilli et al. 2022
10	Mangroves in Sukol river Philippines	10,187.05 Mg ha <sup>-1</sup>	Quitain 2021
11	Prominent agroforestry systems in north-western Himalaya, India	66.55 t ha <sup>-1</sup> to 34.87 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	Saleem et al. 2023
12	<i>Araucaria angustifolia</i> agroforestry in Brazil	50 to 140 Mg ha <sup>-1</sup>	Zinn et al. 2024

SI. No.	Agroforestry/land-use system	Mean vegetation C	Source
1	Caragana Korshinskii Kom plantations on the Loess Plateau	20.52 Mg ha−1	Deng et al. 2017
2	Mangroves in eastern Niger Delta	732,595.71 ± 55.64 Mg CO <sub>2</sub>	Drexler et al. 2024
3	Shelterbelt Trees in Canada	20.8 g C kg <sup>-1</sup>	Mayrinck et al. 2019
4	Commercial Willow Plantation	0.07 to 0.99 Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> y <sup>-1</sup> C	Niksa et al. 2020
5	Tankawati natural forest in Bangladesh	36.26 to 522.24 kg·ha−1	Ullah and Al-Amin 2012
6	Carbon storage in old hedgerows of Nigeria	43.23 Mg ha⁻¹	Nwankwo et al. 2023
7	Typical steppe of Nei Monggol in North China	277.35 to 7307.59 g m <sup>-2</sup>	Yan et al. 2008

Table 2. Belowground carbon sequestration by different agroforestry systems

### 3.2 Belowground (Soil) Carbon Sequestration

Soils are essential to the global carbon cycle (Lal 2008). Significant geographic variations in forest soil carbon sequestration were observed across several regions of China (Bin et al. 2022). The forest soil in Jiangxi, Hunan, Zhejiang, Fujian, Anhui, Shanxi, Shaanxi, Guangxi, and Liaoning acted as carbon sources, releasing around 25.507 Tg C each year. The remaining 22 provinces functioned as carbon sinks, with an average carbon sequestration by forest soil totalling 103.300 Tg C per year. The total soil carbon pool of 2,300 Pg (1 petagramme = 10^15 g = 1 billion tonnes) is threefold the atmospheric pool of 770 Pg and 3.8 times the vegetation pool of 610 Pg; a decrease in the soil carbon pool by 1 Pg corresponds to an increase in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> by 0.47 ppmv. Consequently, any alteration in the soil carbon pool would substantially impact the global carbon budget. The historical emission of CO<sub>2</sub> into the atmosphere from terrestrial ecosystems is estimated to be between 136 to 55 Pg, with soils contributing approximately 78 to 12 Pg. Table 2 summarizes mean belowground carbon sequestration potential of different agroforestry systems from different studies.

The interaction between vegetation and soil microorganisms plays a vital role in long-term carbon storage. Beyond its carbon sequestration potential. agroforestry offers numerous environmental and socioeconomic benefits. The IPCC report (Solomon et al. 2007) highlights its contribution to biodiversity conservation and ecosystem resilience. Economic advantages include diversified income streams for farmers through multiple products (timber, fruits, crops) enhanced farm productivity. It also and documents improved soil fertility, water retention, and microclimate regulation as additional environmental benefits.

# 4. TREE-SPECIES SELECTION AND SILVICULTURAL MANAGEMENT

The "native vs. exotic"-species controversy and growth-rate differences among tree species are among the biological issues that are extensively debated but have not yet been resolved in relation to the sequestration of carbon by trees in agroforestry systems (Oren et al. 2001). Many of these discussions stem from publications on carbon sequestration in tropical tree plantations, where carbon sequestration is sometimes equated with carbon stock, a notion that is not entirely accurate. Despite occupying merely a fraction (5%) of tropical forests these plantations may gain significance as their extent is projected to expand in the coming decades and numerous species advocated for tropical plantations are anticipated to be cultivated in agroforestry systems as well (Solomon et al. 2007). It is uncertain if native species, purportedly more adaptable to local conditions, will outperform exotic species in such plantations. The notion that afforesting could serve as an economical method for sequestering CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is also being contested (Xu et al. 2023). Experiments in loblolly pine (Pinus taeda) forests in North Carolina, USA, revealed that following an initial growth spurt, trees exhibited reduced growth rates and absorbed less excess carbon from the atmosphere than anticipated (Schlesinger and Lichter 2001). In two trials with Pinus taeda trees subjected to high atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, the increase in biomass carbon due to CO2 was undetectable at a nutritionally deficient site, while the stimulation observed at a nutritionally adequate site was temporary, stabilising at a minimal gain after three years. A significant synergistic benefit from increased CO<sub>2</sub> and nutrients was observed with nutrient addition, with the benefit being more pronounced at the poor site compared to the moderate location. The scientists concluded that the evaluation of future carbon sequestration is

constrained by soil fertility and its interactions deposition. with nitroaen Another study investigated the decomposition of leaves and roots on the forest floor of experimental pineforest plots, revealing that while the total quantity of litter increased in а CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched environment, the decomposition rate also accelerated, leading to the release of carbon back into the atmosphere instead of its incorporation into the soil (Koutika et al. 2021). The findings indicate that while planting trees is significant, it may not sufficiently replace the need to reduce heat-trapping greenhouse gas emissions. Another facet of ambiguity pertains to the variations in wood quality among species and their carbon accumulation rates.

Mixed plantings of nitrogen-fixing tropical species and commercial wood trees have been shown to yield more aboveground biomass or volume production than monoculture stands. Species mixes provide enhanced resistance to pest infestations and disease outbreaks. A recent study indicated that integrating trees into vinevard designs as vineyard agroforestry systems may enhance an effective arthropod integrated pest management method (Favor et al. 2024). Additional silvicultural factors, including stand density and rotation duration, may also affect biomass production and the perceived carbon sequestration potential of species. In general, high-density stands sequester more quantities of carbon than low-density stands. While these findings do not inherently negate the significance of mixed species planting, they indicate that the selection of species and its management are essential for enhancing carbon sequestration. This may, however, generate conflicts with plantation management objectives, like as lumber production, underscoring the necessity for stand density regulation strategies that align with land management goals. The design of planting schemes to balance the provision of ecological services (e.g., carbon sequestration) and products (e.g., timber) presents a significant silvicultural problem. The implementation of agroforestry varies significantly across regions, adapting to local conditions and needs. Diverse case studies from developed both and developing nations. demonstrate successful adaptation strategies. These examples showcase how different regions have modified agroforestry practices to suit their environmental and specific socioeconomic contexts. Despite its potential, agroforestry faces implementation several challenges. These include initial establishment costs, long waiting

periods for returns on investment, and technical knowledge requirements. Institutional barriers and policy gaps are also recognized as significant obstacles. Limited land availability and competing land-use demands also pose significant challenges.

The integration of animals into agroforestry systems presents another crucial dimension in carbon sequestration dynamics and sustainable agriculture. Bussoni et al. (2019), in their comprehensive review, demonstrated how silvopastoral systems can enhance soil carbon sequestration through improved manure distribution and grazing management. The choice between exotic and native livestock breeds significantly influences system efficiency and it is suggested that native breeds, better adapted to local conditions, often result in more sustainable carbon sequestration patterns and enhanced biodiversity conservation (Sánchez 2005). Regarding crop productivity, Garrett et al. (2001) identified that alley cropping with nitrogenfixing trees shows optimal crop yields while maintaining significant carbon sequestration benefits. Several studies further emphasized how certain agroforestry configurations naturally suppress pest populations through enhanced predator diversity, potentially reducing the need for chemical pesticides, which is particularly significant in the context of transitioning from monocropping systems (Pumariño et al. 2015; Favor et al. 2024). Their researches ultimately recommend gradual conversion of monocropping systems to diverse agroforestry arrangements, suggesting a phased approach that maintains food security while enhancing ecosystem services.

## 5. POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Advancing agroforestry implementation requires coordinated policy actions and research initiatives. Standardizing carbon measurement protocols is a must to facilitate carbon credit systems worldwide. Policy recommendations include developing financial incentives for farmers, strengthening research and extension services, and creating supportive institutional frameworks for agroforestry adoption. Recent on agroforestry implementation work and obstacles indicates a complex interaction of socio-economic, technical, and policy elements across various locations. Franzel et al. (2001) identified significant obstacles in Sub-Saharan Africa, specifically noting that land tenure

insecurity and insufficient financial resources impede widespread adoption, while proposing legislative measures to improve climate resilience. This corresponds with the findings of Jahan et al. (2022) in Northern Bangladesh, institutional where cultural obstacles and constraints substantially affect adoption rates, albeit evident potential advantages. The metaanalysis conducted by Santos et al. (2019) in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest offers quantitative evidence of the beneficial effects of agroforestry on biodiversity and ecosystem services, illustrating effective regional adaptation despite implementation obstacles. Rosenstock et al. (2018) examined a vital technical issue by suggesting standardized measurement and verification methods inside the Paris Agreement. emphasizing the necessity for uniform monitoring strategies across various geographical contexts. Several researchers conducted thorough global systematic reviews that consolidate these themes, revealing common patterns in adoption barriers and success factors across various regions (Ntawuruhunga et al. 2023; Tranchina et al. 2024; Houndjo Kpoviwanou et al. 2024). The reviews underscore that although challenges differ by context, certain fundamental issuessuch as initial investment costs, technical knowledge prerequisites, and policy supportconsistently affect adoption rates globally. These studies effectively emphasized that effective agroforestry implementation necessitates а comprehensive awareness of local circumstances. with the requirement for supportive policy frameworks, technical help, and financial channels to address adoption obstacles.

agroforestry Future directions for policv implementation necessitate a comprehensive technology approach that incorporates innovation. financial mechanisms. and (2009) institutional support. Nair et al. underscored the necessity for unified carbon credit systems and streamlined verification methods to encourage farmer engagement. Whereas, Cechin et al. (2021) emphasized the importance of developing innovative financing mechanisms, including blended finance models and green bonds tailored for agroforestry projects. Azlan et al. (2024) asserted that the incorporation of digital technologies, such as remote sensing and blockchain for transparent carbon monitoring, may enhance the efficiency of policy implementation and verification processes. Besides, many authors in their study advocated for the enhancement of institutional capacities at both local and national levels, proposing the

creation of specialized agroforestry units within agricultural ministries (Alavalapati 2005: Akamani and Holzmueller 2017; Zinngrebe et al. 2020; Katic 2021; Mishenin et al. 2024). Going beyond the forestry science, Kiptot (2015) remarked about the necessity of gender-responsive policies in agroforestry, highlighting that gendersensitive policy design can improve adoption rates and project efficacy. These studies collectively indicate that future policy approaches for implementation of a successful agroforestry model should prioritize the development of integrated frameworks that amalgamate financial incentives, technology innovation, institutional support, and social inclusion, while ensuring flexibility for local adaptation.

### 6. CONCLUSION

Agroforestry represents a pivotal strategy in the global pursuit of net-zero, offering a unique combination of climate mitigation and adaptation benefits. The integration of trees with crop and livestock systems demonstrates superior carbon sequestration potential compared to conventional agricultural systems, fundamentally transforming approach to agricultural our carbon management. Agroforestry systems significantly contribute to carbon sequestration through their multi-layered approach, storing carbon in aboveground biomass, root systems, and soil organic matter. This enhanced sequestration capacity stems from the synergistic interactions between woody and non-woody components. While the theoretical foundation for agroforestry's superior carbon sequestration potential is strong, current assessments primarily focus on carbon stock estimations, often lacking the rigor needed for definitive conclusions. There lie several challenges methodological precisely in quantifying the benefits which can be acquired agroforestry systems across diverse from conditions. The versatility of agroforestry in different geographical and socio-economic contexts positions it as a globally applicable solution for climate change mitigation, despite some challenges. Agroforestry systems are far more cost-effective compared to other naturebased solutions to achieve carbon neutrality and it also has potential to generate multiple environmental and socioeconomic co-benefits. Realizing agroforestry's full potential in achieving requires net-zero targets standardized measurement protocols, policy support, financial accessibility, technical knowhow and accurate assessment of land use area under agroforestry systems. The synthesis of current research underscores that agroforestry, when properly implemented and supported, offers a sustainable pathwav toward carbon neutrality while enhancing agricultural simultaneously productivity, ecosystem health, and rural livelihoods. Moving forward, developing robust monitoring systems will be crucial for leveraging this cost-effective environmental advantage. As the global community intensifies efforts to address climate change, agroforestry emerges as a crucial component of the solution. warranting increased attention, investment, and policy support at local, national, and international levels, alongside continued research to strengthen the scientific understanding of its carbon sequestration dynamics.

#### DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Authors hereby declare that the following generative AI technologies and Large Language Models (LLMs) have been used only to check grammatical errors and improve the overall quality of the submitted manuscript. The outputs have thoroughly been checked and revised by the authors before inclusion in the manuscript.

- 1. Claude 3.5 Sonnet (https://claude.ai/)
- 2. Quillbot (https://quillbot.com/)

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the Indian Council of Forestry Research and Education (ICFRE) and Director, ICFRE-Institute of Forest Productivity, Ranchi for providing institutional support.

### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

### REFERENCES

- Adekanmbi, D. I., Yevide, I. A., Koko, K. I. E. D., Fandohan, A. B., Michoagan, B. S., Issa, M., & Djossa, A. B. (2023). Quantification of above-ground biomass and carbon sequestration potential of roadside trees in the plateau department of Benin Republic. *Journal of Geoscience and Environment Protection*, 11(9), 20–27. https://doi.org/10.4236/gep.2023.119002
- Akamani, K., & Holzmueller, E. J. (2017). Socioeconomic and policy considerations in the adoption of agroforestry systems: An

ecosystem-based adaptive governance approach. *Agroforestry*, 833–855. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-7650-3\_35

- Akhter, A., Bhat, G. M., Pala, N. A., & Jan, H. (2023). Role of agroforestry in climate change mitigation and adaptation through carbon sequestration: A review. *SKUAST Journal of Research*, *25*(3), 387–395. https://doi.org/10.5958/2349-297X.2023.00044.2
- Alavalapati, J. R., Mercer, D. E., Kant, S., & Lehrer, E. (2005). A framework for institutional analysis of agroforestry systems. Valuing agroforestry systems: Methods and applications (pp. 279–302). https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-2413-4\_15
- Azlan, Z. H. Z., Junaini, S. N., & Bolhassan, N. A. (2024, May 1). Evidence of the potential benefits of digital technology integration in Asian agronomy and forestry: A systematic review. Agricultural Systems, 217, 103947. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2024.103947
- Bin, W., Moucheng, L., & Zhichun, Z. (2022). Preliminary estimation of soil carbon sequestration of China's forests during 1999–2008. Journal of Resources and Ecology, 13(1). https://doi.org/10.5814/j.issn.1674-764x.2022.01.002
- Birkel, C., Arciniega-Esparza, S., Maneta, M. P., Boll, J., Stevenson, J. L., Benegas-Negri, L., Tetzlaff, D., & Soulsby, C. (2024). Importance of measured transpiration modelled ecohydrological fluxes for partitioning in a tropical agroforestry system. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 346. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2023.10 9870
- Brilli, L., Carotenuto, F., Chiesi, M., Fiorillo, E., Genesio, L., Magno, R., Morabito, M., Nardino, M., Zaldei, A., & Gioli, B. (2022). An integrated approach to estimate how much urban afforestation can contribute to move towards carbon neutrality. Social Science Research Network. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4096154
- Bussoni, A., Alvarez, J., Cubbage, F., Ferreira, G., & Picasso, V. (2019, February 15). Diverse strategies for integration of forestry and livestock production. *Agroforestry Systems*, 93(1), 333–344. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10457-017-0092-7
- Cechin, A., da Silva Araújo, V., & Amand, L. (2021, January 1). Exploring the synergy between Community Supported

Agriculture and agroforestry: Institutional innovation from smallholders in a Brazilian rural settlement. *Journal of Rural Studies*, *81*, 246–258.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2020.10.0 31

- Chavan, S. B., Dhillon, R. S., Sirohi, C., Uthappa, R., Jinger, D., Jatav, H. S., Α. V., Chichaghare, Α. R., Kakade, Paramesh, V., Kumari, S., Yadav, D. K., Minkina, T., & Rajput, V. D. (2023). Carbon sequestration potential of commercial agroforestry systems in Indogangetic plains of India: Poplar and eucalyptus-based agroforestry systems. Forests. 14(3). https://doi.org/10.3390/f14030559
- Cherinet, A., & Lemi, T. (2023). The role of forest ecosystems for carbon sequestration and poverty alleviation in Ethiopia. *International Journal of Forestry Research*, 2023, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/3838404
- Chhiev, B., & Jongrungrot, V. (2022). Rubber agroforestry system (RAS) practices to overcome rubber price and soil erosion in southern Thailand. *International Journal of Agricultural Technology*, *17*(1), 13–32.
- Deng, L., Han, Q., Zhang, C., Tang, Z., & Shangguan, Z. (2017). Above-Ground and Below-Ground Ecosystem Biomass Accumulation and Carbon Sequestration with *Caragana korshinskii* Kom Plantation Development. *Land Degradation and Development*, 28(3), 906–917. https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.2642
- Drexler, S., Thiessen, E., & Don, A. (2024). Carbon storage in old hedgerows: The importance of below-ground biomass. *GCB Bioenergy*, 16(1), e13112. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.1311 2
- Favor, K., Gold, M., Halsey, S., Hall, M., & Vallone, R. (2024). Agroforestry for enhanced arthropod pest management in vineyards. *Agroforestry Systems*, 98(1), 213–227. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10457-023-00900-9
- Franzel, S., Coe, R., Cooper, P., Place, F., & Scherr, S. J. (2001, July 1). Assessing the adoption potential of agroforestry practices in sub-Saharan Africa. *Agricultural Systems*, *69*(1–2), 37–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-521X(01)00017-8
- Garrett, H. E., Wolz, K. J., Walter, W. D., Godsey, L. D., & McGraw, R. L. (2021, December 13). Alley cropping practices. In

North American agroforestry (pp. 163–204).

https://doi.org/10.1002/9780891183785.ch

- Hodson, M. J. (2019). The relative importance of cell wall and lumen phytoliths in carbon sequestration in soil: A hypothesis. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2019.00167
- Houndjo Kpoviwanou, M. R. J., Sourou, B. N. K., & Ouinsavi, C. A. I. N. (2024, August 3). Challenges in adoption and wide use of agroforestry technologies in Africa and pathways for improvement: A systematic review. *Trees, Forests and People*, 17, 100642.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tfp.2024.100642

Jahan, H., Rahman, M. W., Islam, M. S., Rezwan-Al-Ramim, A., Tuhin, M. M.-U.-J., & Hossain, M. E. (2022, April 1). Adoption of agroforestry practices in Bangladesh as a climate change mitigation option: Investment, drivers, and SWOT analysis perspectives. *Environmental Challenges*, 7, 100509.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envc.2022.100509

- Katic, P. (2021, November 29). Climate change governance in agroforestry systems: A systematic review. *Journal of the British Academy*, *9s10*(s10), 7–20. https://doi.org/10.5871/jba/009s10.007
- Khasanah, N., van Noordwijk, M., & Ningsih, H. (2015). Aboveground carbon stocks in oil palm plantations and the threshold for carbon-neutral vegetation conversion on mineral soils. *Cogent Environmental Science*, 1(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/23311843.2015.11 19964
- Kiptot, E. (2015, September 1). Gender roles, responsibilities, and spaces: Implications for agroforestry research and development in Africa. *International Forestry Review*, *17*(4), 11–21. https://doi.org/10.1505/146554815816086 426
- Kollie, A. Y., Fangninou, F. F., Teka, O., Aboh, A. B., Honvou, S. H. S., & Mumbi, A. W. (2024). Diversity and carbon storage in fodder trees of the lower and middle Ouémé Valley, Benin: Ecological and pastoral sustainability. *European Journal* of *Theoretical and Applied Sciences*, 2(3), 947–960.

https://doi.org/10.59324/ejtas.2024.2(3).74

Koutika, L.-S., Taba, K., Ndongo, M., & Kaonga, M. (2021). Nitrogen-fixing trees increase organic carbon sequestration in forest and agroforestry ecosystems in the Congo Basin. *Regional Environmental Change*, *21*(4). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-021-01816-9

- Kumar, A., Malik, M. S., Shabnam, S., Kumar, R., Karmakar, S., Das, S. S., Lakra, K., Singh, I., Kumar, R., Sinha, A. K., Barla, S., Kumari, N., Oraon, P. R., Prasad, M., Hasan, W., Mahto, D., & Kumar, J. (2024). Carbon sequestration and credit potential of gamhar (Gmelina Arborea Roxb.) based agroforestry system for zero carbon emission of India. *Scientific Reports*, *14*(1), 4828. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-53162-5, PubMed: 38413650
- Kumar, B. M., & Nair, P. R. (Eds.). (2022). Carbon sequestration potential of agroforestry systems: Opportunities and challenges.
- Kumar, B. M., & Kunhamu, T.K. (2021). Carbon sequestration potential of agroforestry systems in India: A synthesis. In R. P. Udawatta & S. Jose (Eds.), Agroforestry and ecosystem services. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-80060-4\_15
- Lal, R. (2008). Soil carbon stocks under present and future climate with specific reference to European ecoregions. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, *81*(2), 113–127. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-007-9147-x
- Mandasari, P. A., Adim, M., Aisjah, S., Supriyadi, S., & Murniyanto, E. (2022). The capacity of agroforestry and food crop system for C Stock and sequestration (case study on Saobi Island madura). IOP Conf Ser. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science: Earth Environ Sci IOP Conference Series. 1005(1). https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1005/1/012011
- Mayrinck, R. C., Laroque, C. P., Amichev, B. Y., & Van Rees, K. (2019). Above- and belowground carbon sequestration in shelterbelt trees in Canada: A review. *Forests*, *10*(10), 922. https://doi.org/10.3390/f10100922
- Mishenin, Y., Yarova, I., & Koblianska, I. (2024, October 15). Sustainable spatial agroforestry in the context of forestry globalization: Strategic guidelines. *Agroforestry*, 155–198. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781394231164.ch 6
- Mohammadi, Z., Mohammadi Limaei, S., Lohmander, P., & Olsson, L. (2017).

Estimating the aboveground carbon sequestration and its economic value (case study: Iranian Caspian forests). *Journal of Forest Science*, *63*(11), 511–518. https://doi.org/10.17221/88/2017-JFS

- Moran Moreno, B., Herrera, A., & López Benavides, K. (2014, November 21). Evaluación socioeconómica y ambiental de tres tipos de sistemas agroforestales en el Trópico Seco Nicaragüense. *Revista Científica de FAREM-Estelí*, (11), 13–26. https://doi.org/10.5377/farem.v0i11.1601
- Nair, P. K. R., Nair, V. D., Kumar, B. M., & Haile, S. G. (2009, December 1). Soil carbon sequestration in tropical agroforestry systems: A feasibility appraisal. *Environmental Science and Policy*, *12*(8), 1099–1111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2009.01.01 0
- Namitha, V. V., Raj, S. K., & Prathapan, K. (2022). Carbon sequestration potential in coconut based cropping system: A review. *Agricultural Reviews*, (Of). https://doi.org/10.18805/ag.R-2553
- Niksa, D., Krzyżaniak, M., & Stolarski, M. J. (2020). The estimation of above- and below-ground biomass residues and carbon sequestration potential in soil on commercial willow Plantation. In M. Wróbel, M. Jewiarz, & A. Szlęk (Eds.), *Renewable energy sources: Engineering, technology, innovation. Springer proceedings in energy* (pp. 257–266). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-13888-2\_25
- Ntawuruhunga, D., Ngowi, E. E., Mangi, H. O., Salanga, R. J., & Shikuku, K. M. (2023, May 1). Climate-smart agroforestry systems and practices: A systematic review of what works, what doesn't work, and why. *Forest Policy and Economics*, *150*, 102937. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2023.10293 7
- Nwankwo, C., Tse, A. C., Nwankwoala, H. O., Giadom, F. D., & Acra, E. J. (2023). Below ground carbon stock and carbon sequestration potentials of mangrove sediments in Eastern Niger Delta, Nigeria: Implication for climate change. *Scientific African*, *22*, e01898. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sciaf.2023.e01898
- Oren, R., Ellsworth, D. S., Johnsen, K. H., Phillips, N., Ewers, B. E., Maier, C., Schäfer, K. V., McCarthy, H., Hendrey, G., McNulty, S. G., & Katul, G. G. (2001). Soil

fertility limits carbon sequestration by forest ecosystems in a CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched atmosphere. *Nature*, *411*(6836), 469–472. https://doi.org/10.1038/35078064, PubMed: 11373677

Palsaniya, D. R., Kumar, T. K., Chaudhary, M., & Choudhary, M. (2023). Effect of reduced tillage and mulching on soil health in Sesbania Alley cropping based rainfed food – Fodder systems. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*, *69*(10), 1750–1764. https://doi.org/10.1080/03650340.2022.21

11025 Panwar, P., Mahalingappa, D. G., Kaushal, R.,

- Panwar, P., Manalingappa, D. G., Kaushai, R., Bhardwaj, D. R., Chakravarty, S., Shukla, G., Thakur, N. S., Chavan, S. B., Pal, S., Nayak, B. G., Srinivasaiah, H. T., Dharmaraj, R., Veerabhadraswamy, N., Apshahana, K., Suresh, C. P., Kumar, D., Sharma, P., Kakade, V., Nagaraja, M. S., ... Gurung, T. (2022). Biomass production and carbon sequestration potential of different agroforestry systems in India: A critical review. *Forests*, *13*(8). https://doi.org/10.3390/f13081274
- Pumariño, L., Sileshi, G. W., Gripenberg, S., Kaartinen, R., Barrios, E., Muchane, M. N., Midega, C., & Jonsson, M. (2015, November 1). Effects of agroforestry on pest, disease and weed control: A metaanalysis. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, *16*(7), 573–582. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2015.08.006
- Quitain, R. A. (2021). Describing the greenhouse gas reduction capacity of mangroves by carbon stock assessment using allometric data in Sukol River, Bongabong, Oriental Mindoro, Philippines. International Multidisciplinary Research Journal, 3(4), 139–147. https://doi.org/10.54476/iimrj215
- Reyes-Palomo, C., Aguilera, E., Llorente, M., Díaz-Gaona, C., Moreno, G., & Rodríguez-Estévez, V. (2023). Free-range acorn feeding results in negative carbon footprint of Iberian pig production in the Dehesa agro-forestry system. *Journal of Cleaner Production,* 418. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.1381 70
- Rodrigues, C. I. D., Brito, L. M., & Nunes, L. J. R. (2023). Soil carbon sequestration in the context of climate change mitigation: A review. *Soil Systems*, 7(3). https://doi.org/10.3390/soilsystems703006 4

- Rosenstock, T. S., Wilkes, A., Jallo, C., Namoi, N., Bulusu, M., Suber, M., Bernard, F., & Mboi, D. (2018, November 30). *Making trees count: Measurement, reporting and verification of agroforestry under the UNFCCC* [CCAFS working paper].
- Saleem, I., Mugloo, J. A., Pala, N. A., Bhat, G. M., Masoodi, T. H., Mughal, A. H., Baba, A. A., & Mehraj, B. (2023). Biomass production, carbon Stock and sequestration potential of prominent agroforestry systems in North-Western Himalaya, India. *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change*, 6. https://doi.org/10.3389/ffgc.2023.1192382
- Sánchez, L. (2005). Indigenous breeds and silvopastoral systems. In Silvopastoralism and sustainable land management. Proceedings of an International Congress on Silvopastoralism and Sustainable Management Held in Lugo, Spain, April 2004 (pp. 231–235). CABI Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1079/9781845930011.02 31
- Santos, P. Z. F., Crouzeilles, R., & Sansevero, J. B. B. (2019, February 15). Can agroforestry systems enhance biodiversity and ecosystem service provision in agricultural landscapes? A meta-analysis for the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. *Forest Ecology and Management, 433,* 140–145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.10.06 4
- Schlesinger, W. H., & Lichter, J. (2001). Limited carbon storage in soil and litter of experimental forest plots under increased atmospheric CO2. *Nature*, *411*(6836), 466–469. https://doi.org/10.1038/35078060,

PubMed: 11373676

- Schmitt-Harsh, M., Evans, T. P., Castellanos, E., & Randolph, J. C. (2012). Carbon stocks in coffee agroforests and mixed dry tropical forests in the Western Highlands of Guatemala. *Agroforestry Systems*, *86*(2), 141–157. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10457-012-9549-x
- Semere, M., Tadesse, C., Abebe, T., Cherinet, A., & Gebreyesus, M. (2024). Comparative carbon stock potential of indigenous agroforestry systems in silte wereda, Southern Ethiopia. *East African Journal of Forestry and Agroforestry*, 7(1), 134–145. https://doi.org/10.37284/eajfa.7.1.1868
- Singh, N., Riyal, M. K., Singh, B., Khanduri, V. P., Rawat, D., Singh, C., Pinto, M. M. S. C., & Kumar, M. (2024). Carbon

Sequestration Potential of Agroforestry versus Adjoining Forests at Different Altitudes in the Garhwal Himalayas. *Atmosphere*, 15(3). https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos15030313

- Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. et al. (2007). IPCC fourth assessment report. *Climatic Change, AR4*, 374.
- Suryani, I., Sulfiana, N. M., Nontji, M., & Marliana. (2022). The dynamics of chemical properties and soil microbial types at agroforestry system in supporting environmental friendly agriculture. *OnLine Journal of Biological Sciences*, 22(3), 333– 339.

https://doi.org/10.3844/ojbsci.2022.333.33 9

Tranchina, M., Reubens, B., Frey, M., Mele, M., & Mantino, A. (2024, April 26). What challenges impede the adoption of agroforestry practices? A global perspective through a systematic literature review. *Agroforestry Systems*, 98(6), 1817–1837. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10457-024-00993-

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10457-024-00993w

- Ullah, M. R., & Al-Amin, M. (2012). Above- and below-ground carbon stock estimation in a natural forest of Bangladesh. *Journal of Forest Science*, *58*(8), 372–379. https://doi.org/10.17221/103/2011-JFS
- Vaupel, A., Bednar, Z., Herwig, N., Hommel, B., Moran-Rodas, V. E., & Beule, L. (2023). Tree-Distance and tree-species effects on soil biota in a temperate agroforestry system. *Plant and Soil*, 487(1–2), 355– 372. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-023-05932-9
- Wahyudi, A. J., Rahmawati, S., Irawan, A., Hadiyanto, H., Prayudha, B., Hafizt, M., Afdal, A., Adi, N. S., Rustam, A.,

Hernawan, U. E., Rahayu, Y. P., Iswari, M. Y., Supriyadi, I. H., Solihudin, T., Ati, R. N. A., Kepel, T. L., Kusumaningtyas, M. A., Daulat, A., Salim, H. L., ... Kiswara, W. (2020). Assessing carbon stock and sequestration of the tropical seagrass meadows in Indonesia. *Ocean Science Journal*, *55*(1), 85–97. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12601-020-0003-0

- Xu, X., Wu, H., Yue, J., Tang, S., & Cheng, W. (2023). Effects of snow cover on carbon dioxide emissions and their δ13C values of temperate forest soils with and without litter. *Forests*, 14(7). https://doi.org/10.3390/f14071384
- Yan, Y.-C., Tang, H.-P., Chang, R.-Y., & Liu, L. (2008, May). Variation of below-ground carbon sequestration under long term cultivation and grazing in the typical steppe of Nei Monggol in North China. *Huan Jing Ke Xue*, 29(5), 1388–1393. Chinese. PubMed: 18624212
- Zinn, Y. L., Cardoso, R., & Silva, C. A. (2024). Soil organic carbon sequestration under Araucaria angustifolia plantations but not under exotic tree species on a mountain range. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo*, 48. https://doi.org/10.36783/18069657rbcs202 30146
- Zinngrebe, Y., Borasino, E., Chiputwa, B., Dobie, P., Garcia, E., Gassner, A., Kihumuro, P., Komarudin, H., Liswanti, N., Makui, P., Plieninger, T., Winter, E., & Hauck, J. (2020, September). Agroforestry governance for operationalising the approach: Connecting landscape conservation and farming actors. Sustainability Science, 15(5), 1417-1434. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-020-00840-8

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/126256