



Performance of Pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L.) Varieties Sown on Ridge under Front Line Demonstration at Farmer's Field in Deoria District of Uttar Pradesh, India

Kamlesh Meena ^{a*}, Rajneesh Srivastva ^a, Shamsheer Singh ^b and Ajay Tiwari ^a

^a Krishi Vigyan Kendra (ICAR-Indian Institute of Vegetable Research, Varanasi), Deoria,
UP - 274506, India.

^b Krishi Vigyan Kendra (ICAR-Indian Institute of Vegetable Research, Varanasi), Kushinagar, UP,
India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i232487

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/92595>

Original Research Article

Received 08 August 2022
Accepted 14 October 2022
Published 22 October 2022

ABSTRACT

Aims: The production of pigeon pea is lower than the other pulse crops due to non-adoption of recommended high-yielding varieties and advanced technologies by the farming community in the eastern plains of Uttar Pradesh. To replace this anomalous, we conducted 152 front-line demonstrations (FLDs) at farmers' fields in various adopted villages by Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Deoria.

Place and Duration of Study: The study was carried out by the Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Malhana, Deoria, under the Indian Institute of Vegetable Research, Varanasi, UP. A total of 90, 12 and 50 FLDs were conducted sown on ridge method with three varieties of pigeon pea (Narendra Arhar 1, Pusa Bahar and Narendra Arhar 2) from 2014-15 to 2018-19 respectively.

Methodology: Conducted 152 front-line demonstrations (FLDs) at farmers' fields in various adopted villages by Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Deoria. Cultivation practices comprised under FLD viz. improved varieties, sowing methods, irrigation, date of sowing, seed treatment, spacing, balance use of fertilizers, intercultural operations, and plant protection measures etc.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: rajneeshkvk@gmail.com;

Results: Showed an increase in yield of pigeon pea varieties sown on ridge from 4.76–39.20% over traditional cultivation practices. As presented in Fig. 3, the technology gap was highest in Narendra Arhar 1 (1680 kg/ha) during 2016-17 and lowest in Narendra Arhar 2 (340 kg/ha) during 2018-19. The analysis of data for extension gap showed that Narendra Arhar 2 had the highest gap (540 kg/ha) during 2017-18 and Narendra Arhar 1 had the lowest gap (60 kg/ha) during 2016-17. The technology index was highest (56%) in Narendra Arhar 1 in 2016-17 and lowest (15%) in Narendra Arhar 2 in 2018-19.

Conclusion: The lower the value of the technology index, the more feasible the technology is in the eastern plains of UP.

Keywords: Ridge sowing; front line demonstration; high-yielding varieties; technology index; pigeon pea.

1. INTRODUCTION

Pulses are an essential part of the Indian diet since they are a significant source of protein and can be cultivated in a variety of agro-climatic regions across the nation. Pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* L.) output and area are second only to chickpea among pulses. Pigeonpea (Arhar), commonly known as Redgram or Tur, is a very old crop in this country. It serves a variety of purposes and plays a significant role in both the country's current farming practices and the vegetarian diet. The frequency of pulse consumption in India is far greater than that of any other source of protein, which indicates the importance of pulses in their daily food practice. With the aforementioned information in mind, it is critical to boost the production of pulses in order to provide a balanced diet to the nation's citizens as part of the district's malnutrition program. It also plays a significant role in the sustainable agriculture farming system

by enriching the soil through biological nitrogen fixation along with the deep root system of this crop, which makes it more suitable for its cultivation under rain-fed conditions. India occupied 4.53 million hectares of land, produced 3.89 million tones with an average productivity of 859 kg/ha, and Uttar Pradesh occupied 0.29 million hectares of land, produced 0.28 million tones with an average productivity of 980 kg ha⁻¹ under irrigated conditions [1]. Defective cultivation practices, such as sowing methods, improper crop geometry, avoidance of weedicide use, bio-fertilizer operations, and climatic variability, are major reasons for limiting pigeon pea potential yield. The Frontline demonstrations (152) were conducted on farmers' fields to demonstrate the impact of improved technology (Ridge sowing method) varieties and other management technology on pigeon pea productivity over three years during Kharif 2014-15 to 2018-19.




Fig. 1. Shows the productivity of pigeon pea (Kg/ha) in India, Uttar Pradesh, and demonstrated fields

Sources: Agricultural statistics at a glance 2018-19 and 2020-21

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The performance of high-yielding pigeon pea varieties, NA 1 (Narendra arhar 1), NA 2 (Narendra arhar 2), and Bahar, sown on ridge method versus the traditional method, was evaluated in the current study through front line demonstrations (FLDs) at farmer's fields during Kharif seasons 2014–15 and 2018–19. The study was carried out by the Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Malhana, Deoria, under the Indian Institute of Vegetable Research, Varanasi, UP. A total of 90, 12 and 50 FLDs were conducted under sowing on ridges with three varieties of pigeon pea (Narendra Arhar 1, Pusa Bahar and Narendra Arhar 2) from 2014-15 to 2018-19 respectively. Good agronomical practices used for the present study with respect to FLDs and farmer's practices are given in Table 1. The crop was sown in the last week of June and harvested in the first week of April. The pigeon pea varieties sown on ridges made by ridge maker was compared with the traditional method (broad casting) used by the farming community of Deoria District. The study area's soils are very deep, loam to silt loam in texture, and moderately to well drained with ground water irrigation. Soils are medium in fertility status. The climate of the district is characterized by a dry summer and a cool winter with high rainfall during the Kharif season. The FLDs were conducted to find out the gaps between the potential yield and the average yield of demonstrations. The extension gap and technology index were calculated as per standard methods. For evaluation of the performance of different varieties, the yield data was recorded and compared with local variety sown by broadcast method. Critical inputs in the form of quality seeds of recommended high-yielding varieties for FLDs were provided to the farmers. The farmers were facilitated by KVK subject matter specialists in performing field operations like sowing, thinning, irrigation,

fertilizer application, weedicide spraying, harvesting, threshing, and storage, etc. during the study period, course of training, visits, and field days. The demonstrated technologies in the fields are presented in Table 1 and compared with local practices. The technology gap, extension gap, and technological index [2] were calculated by using the following formula as given below.

$$\text{Technology gap (A)} = \text{Pi-Di}$$

$$\text{Extension gap (B)} = \text{Di-Fi}$$

$$\text{Technology index (C)} = \frac{\text{Pi-Di}}{\text{Pi}} \times 100$$

Where,

Pi= Potential Yield of variety

Di= Demonstration Yield of the Crops.

Fi= Farmers Yield

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Interpretations of Grain Yield

Sowing on ridges of pigeon pea was evaluated against broad casting (traditional method) through front line demonstration on selected farmer's fields in Deoria district during Kharif 2014–15 to 2018–19. The average yield of pigeon pea variety NA 1 under FLDs was recorded at 1740 kg/ha and 1320 kg/ha in the years 2014–15 and 2015–16, which was 39.20% and 4.76% higher than the broad casting method. Similar findings were noted in pigeonpea by Tiwari et al. [3]. The yield gap analysis has been carried out by several workers [4] (Meena et al. 2010) in different parts of the country and reported the superiority over local or traditional technologies. Yield enhancement through frontline demonstration in Indian mustard has also been reported by Tiwari et al. [3];

Table 1. Agronomical practices used for pigeon pea growing under FLDs and farmer practice

Practice	Demonstrations practice	Farmers Practice
Farming situation	Irrigated and sandy loam	Irrigated and Sandy loam
Varieties	NA 1, NA 2 and Bahar	NA 1, NA 2 and Bahar
Date of sowing	15 June to 25 July	15 June to 25 July
Method of sowing	Ridge sowing method	Broadcasting method
Seed Rate (kg/ha)	10-12	15-18
Irrigation (No.)	Once	Once
Fertilizers (kg/ha)	(N:P:K) 30: 60 :40	(N:P:K) 30: 60 :40
Weeding	At 35 DAS	At 35 DAS
Date of harvesting	01-15 April	01-15April

Table 2. Variety, number of FLDs, area and yield of pigeon pea grown under FLD and existing practices

Year	Variety	Number of demonstration	Area (ha)	Yield (Kg/ha)		% Increase
				Demonstration	Traditional	
2014-15	NA1	26	7.8	1749	1250	39.20
2015-16	Pusa Bahar	12	3.7	1490	1102	35.20
2016-17	NA1	64	24	1320	1260	04.76
2017-18	NA2	25	10	1560	1020	52.94
2018-19	NA2	25	10	1860	1420	30.98


Meena et al. [5]; Hiremath et al. [6]; Dhaka et al. [7]; and Kumar et al. [8]. The average yield of Pusa Bahar under FLDs was noted at 1490 kg/ha in the year 2015-16. The Pusa bahar variety of pigeon pea gave a 35.20% higher yield than the broad casting method. Presented data in Table 2, the average yield of the NA2 variety of pigeon pea under front line demonstration was found at 1560 kg/ha and 1860 kg/ha in the years of 2017-18 to 2018-19. This variety gave a 52.94% and 30.98% higher yield sown on ridge method than the traditional method during the demonstration period of the farmer's field in Deoria district, Eastern Uttar Pradesh. However, productivity remains lower than potential yield, necessitating additional research in this area. Better performance and on-farm demonstration of local methods are enough to entice the farming community to grow pigeon pea crop. Further analysis of data showed that the yield of pigeon pea varieties (NA 1, Pusa Bahar and NA 2) increased successively, which clearly speaks of the positive impact of sowing on ridges under FLDs over the traditional method of pigeon pea sowing (Table 2) in this region. The results indicated that the FLDs have had a good impact on the farmers' community of Deoria

District, as motivated by the recently introduced improved technologies in the farmer's fields.

3.2 Interpretations of Extension Gap, Technology Gap and Technological Index

3.2.1 Extension gap

The presented data in Fig. 2 illustrated that the extension gap ranging from 60 to 540 kg/ha during the study period emphasizes the need to educate the farmers through various means for the adoption of improved technologies to reverse this trend of wide extension gap. Meena et al. (2010) reported an extension gap in mustard from 3.36 to 4.64 q/ha in the south-eastern part of Rajasthan. Similar extension gaps in frontline demonstration of pigeonpea have also been documented by Tiwari et al. [3]. This concerning trend of hurdle extension gap will subsequently change as more and more advanced production technologies with high-yielding varieties are used. The above findings are similar to the findings of Samui et al. [2].

**Fig. 2. Shows the extension gap of pigeon pea varieties during study period**

3.2.2 Technology gap

The technology gap (ranging between 510 and 1680 kg/ha) reflected the farmer's cooperation in carrying out such a demonstration, with encouraging results in subsequent improved technologies. The technology gap observed may be attributed to the dissimilarity in the soil fertility status and weather conditions. This concerning trend of a galloping extension gap will eventually change. The new technologies will eventually lead the farmers to terminate the old method with the new technologies.

3.2.3 Technological index

The technological index showed the feasibility of the evolved technology in the farmer's field. The lower value of the technology index, the greater the feasibility of the technology [9]. The benefit-cost ratio of front line demonstration (Table 3) clearly revealed that the benefit with B:C ratio from demonstrated practice was substantially higher than control plots, i.e., the traditional method of four years of demonstration.




Fig. 3. Shows the extension gap of pigeon pea varieties during study period




Fig. 4. Shows the technology index of pigeon pea grown under FLDs

4. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

Presented in Table 3, economic analysis of the demonstrated technology showed an increased net profit of 9 to 75% over the traditional method during the five-year demonstrated period. Similar results were reported by Singh et al. [10] in Chickpea.

The net profit was 75% more in the year of 0217-18 sown on ridges of pigeon pea. The data indicated a benefit cost ratio of 2.9:1, 3.4:1,

2.2:1, 3.1:1, 3.6:1 and 2.1:1, 2.4:1, 2.1:1, 2.2:1, 2.7:1 under sown on ridge and traditional method of pigeonpea. Similar findings were reported by Balai et al. [11] in mustard and Sharma [12] in moth bean. The Narendra Arhar 2 variety of pigeonpea was found to be economically most beneficial in a ridge sowing compared to the traditional method in the eastern uplands of Uttar Pradesh. Progressive farmers should option for a sowing on ridges method with the Narendra Arhar 2 variety of pigeonpea.

Table 3. Average gross return, net profit and B: C ratio of pigeon pea grown under FLDs and traditional varieties

Year	Variety	Economic of Demonstration Technology (Rs)				Economic of Farmers Practice (Rs)			
		Gross Cost	Gross Return	Net Return	B:C	Gross Cost	Gross Return	Net Return	B:C
2014-15	NA 1	20370	59160	38790	2.9:1	20116	42500	22384	2.1:1
2015-16	Pusa Bahar	22380	77480	55100	3.4:1	23420	57304	33884	2.4:1
2016-17	NA 1	25320	58080	32760	2.2:1	25440	55440	30020	2.1:1
2017-18	NA 2	27220	85800	58580	3.1:1	26830	60224	33394	2.2:1
2018-19	NA 2	28225	102300	74075	3.6:1	28840	78100	49260	2.7:1




Fig. 5. Shows the net return of demonstration and traditional technology during study period

The favorable benefit-cost ratio proved the economic viability of the intervention made under demonstration and convinced the farmers of the utility of the intervention [13,14].

5. CONCLUSION

The showcasing of proven technologies through FLDs increased the yield potential of pigeon pea to a great extent in the hot sub-humid (moist) ecoregion of Uttar Pradesh. The results of the front-line demonstration demonstrated that with improved crop production techniques and better management, pigeon pea yield could be increased by 4.76-39.20%. From the above findings, it can also be concluded that the use of scientific methods in pigeon pea cultivation reduced the technological gap and extension gap to a considerable extent. This will substantially increase the income as well as the livelihood of the farming community. There is a need to adopt a multi-pronged strategy that involves enhancing pigeon pea production through improved technologies in the eastern plains of UP. This should be brought to the access of farmers through the transfer of technology centers like KVKs and line departments.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Acknowledgement Authors are thankful to all the Subject Matter Specialist of the Krishi Vigyan Kendra (ICAR-IIVR) for providing the encouragement and support. Thanks to the Head, Krishi Vigyan Kendra (ICAR-IIVR) and Director of ICAR-Indian Institute of Vegetable Research (IIVR) Varanasi for providing necessary help during the study.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Agricultural statistics at a glance. Government of India Ministry of Agriculture & farmers welfare department of agriculture & farmers welfare directorate of economics & statistics. 2020-21;72-4.
2. Samui SK, Mitra S, Roy DK, Mandal AK, Saha D. Evaluation of front line demonstration on groundnut. J Indian Soc Costal Agric Res. 2000;18(2):180-3.
3. Tiwari D, Kumar A, Chandra S, Sahay R. Performance of Bahar and N. Arhar pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*) under Front Line Demonstrations in Unnao district of Uttar Pradesh. Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci. 2017;6;Special Issue (5): 249-52.
4. Mitra B, Samajdar T. Yield gap analysis of rapeseed and mustard through frontline demonstrations. Agrol Ext [review]. 2010;22(2):16-7.
5. Meena BS, Meena DS, Meena KC, Meena CB. Enhanced mustard productivity and profitability through frontline demonstrations in South-Eastern Rajasthan. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2018;7(7):800-5.
6. Hiremath SM, Nagaraju MV, Shashidhar KK. Impact of frontline demonstration on onion productivity in farmers field. Nation. Sem. Appropriate Extn Strateg Manag Rural Resour Univ Agric Sci Dharward. 2007;18-20:100.
7. Dhaka BL, Meena BS, Suwalka RL. Popularization of Improved Maize production technology through frontline demonstrations in southeastern Rajasthan. J Agric Sci. 2010;1(1): 39-42.
8. Kumar A, Kumar R, Yadav VPS, Kumar R. Impact assessment of frontline demonstrations of bajara in Haryana State. Indian Res J Extension Educ. 2010;10(1):105-8.
9. Sagar RL, Chandra G. Frontline demonstrations on sesame in West Bengal. Agric Ext [review]. 2004;16(2): 7-10.
10. Singh D, Patel K, Baghel SK, Singh MS, Singh A, Singh AK. Impact of front line Demonstration on the Yield and Economics of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Sidhi District of Madhya Pradesh. J Agric Res. 2014;1(1):22-5.
11. Balai CM, Meena RP, Meena BL, Bairwa RK. Impact of front line demonstration on rapeseed-mustard yield improvement. Indian Res J Extension Educ. 2012;12(2):113-6.
12. Sharma OP. Mothbean yield improvement through frontline demonstrations. Agrol Ext [review]. 2003;15(5):11-3.
13. Bhati BS, Ramawtar Soni RL, Bugalia HL. Impact of Front Line Demonstration on Yield and Profitability of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* Linn) in Banswara district of Rajasthan. Indian J Extension Educ. 2018;54(3):150-3.

14. Meena BL, Meena RP, Meena RH, Balai CM. Yield gap analysis of rapeseed-mustard through front line demonstrations in agro climatic zone IVa of Rajasthan. J Oilseed Brassica. 2012;3(1): 51-5.

© 2022 Meena et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/92595>